

The Viceroy Under Schach: Yosef, Limited Stewardship, and Non-Domination on 18 Tishrei 5787

Aryeh Leib Thorne Toren · 29 September 2026

A Covenantal Recalibration Essay

18 Tishrei 5787 / Tuesday, 29 September 2026 · Chol HaMoed Sukkot

Aryeh Leib Thorne Toren · Jerusalem | Pittsburgh Roots

I. Thesis

Chol HaMoed is the festival's weekday: holiness that does not abolish labor, joy that does not abolish judgment, a booth that does not abolish the house. On 18 Tishrei the lectionary, the ushpizin, and the hour converge on one problem: who may keep a chokepoint, and under what law?

Yosef, whom one widely printed ushpizin order welcomes on the fourth day, is the Torah's steward of a narrow place. He stores grain, opens storehouses, and feeds "all the earth" without becoming Pharaoh. Moshe's blessing over him this morning—dew of heaven, bounty of the deep, firstling of the ox, horns of the re'em with which he gores peoples "together to the ends of the earth"—is not a warrant for imperial enclosure. It is strength placed in service of life. The sukkah, whose roof must remain open to the sky, is the architectural counterpart: protection that does not seal the world.

The opposite architecture is choke-point sovereignty: a strait, a storehouse, a pulpit, or a coalition closed except on the closer's terms. In late September 2026 that claim is pressed at Hormuz, in Israeli coalition arithmetic one month before 27 October, and in the temptation to treat sages, generals, or presidents as oracles. Iggeret HaKodesh 22 refuses the last. R. Nachman, whose yahrzeit is today, refuses the despair that makes domination feel inevitable. Pettit's non-domination, Elazar's covenantal federalism, and Hazony's biblical particularism give a vocabulary. Torah remains foundation and corrective: nations may be strong; they may not own the sky.

II. The day's texts

In the Land of Israel this is a weekday of the festival. Work is permitted where loss would be serious; the sukkah remains the dwelling; the Four Kinds are taken; Hosha'anot are said. Hayom Yom records a severe custom: both Om ani chomah and Adon ha-moshia, yet only one circuit; hosha'ana doubled only on the chazzan's loud phrases; the circuit complete to tav. Petition is not multiplied into spectacle. The wall Israel declares—"I am a wall"—is walked once, fully, to the last letter.

The Chumash is Devarim 33:13–17: fertility joined to force, not force joined to unbounded rule. Rashi hears Yehoshua in the firstling bull; midrash and Chassidus also hear Yosef himself—ben porat in Egypt, bones waiting to be carried up. In the chronological order some siddurim print,

Yosef follows the Avot and precedes Moshe, Aharon, and David; in the Kabbalistic order he comes sixth, just before David. Either way he is the guest who is not patriarch, not redeemer, not king. He is a tzaddik in a palace that is not his.

Tehillim 88–89 refuse cheap consolation: the lowest pit, then hesed sworn to David, then the protest that the crown has been profaned. The Davidic covenant in 89 is already limited kingship—son and servant, warned that transgression meets the rod.

Iggeret HaKodesh 22 continues the Alter Rebbe's overt rebuke from hidden love. Chasidim had treated him as counsel for livelihood and hidden futures. Such knowledge, he answers, belongs to prophets, not to Torah sages as Torah sages. The office is Torah, mitzvot, and yirat Shamayim—not title to tomorrow. Suffering, rightly received, can itself become a vessel for love and fear. Authority is recentered. Prediction is refused.

R. Nachman died 18 Tishrei 5571 in Uman and left no successor. A path of joy, simplicity, and hitbodedut that refuses dynastic enclosure is itself a teaching about power. "It is a great mitzvah to be in joy always" is a ban on the inner regime in which fear licenses every emergency.

III. Yosef as anti-Pharaonic steward

Two Orthodox readings contend.

The first, in parts of the medieval philosophical tradition and in some religious-Zionist appropriation, treats Yosef as proto-statesman: seven-year plan, centralized grain, strategic depth. A people that cannot store and strike will be stored by others.

The second, stronger in midrash, Maharal, and much of Chassidus, treats him as tzaddik yesod olam: unassimilated Ivri in Egyptian dress, sons named for forgetting pain and for fruitfulness in affliction, an oath that his bones will go up. Capacity without a higher law becomes the centralization Genesis reports without endorsing—Egyptians selling land and bodies for bread. Holiness without institutions leaves the storehouses to men who will administer without tzedek.

The day's synthesis is stewardship under covenant. Yosef's storehouses are legitimate when they answer hunger; suspect when they answer only Pharaoh. A roof that protects is a mitzvah. A roof that seals the heavens is pasul.

IV. Orthodox disagreements—and the festival's judgment

Hilchot Melachim commands a king and binds him: no multiplying of horses, wives, and silver; a Torah written and read "so that his heart not be lifted above his brothers." Abarbanel reads the same chapters as concession and danger. Rav Kook hears monarchy as a vessel of national kedushah that other forms may provisionally bear. Leibowitz insisted the state is necessity that must not be worshiped. Sukkot judges all four. The feast is national; the booth is anti-palatial. Zechariah 14 brings the nations to Jerusalem to keep Sukkot—pilgrimage, not merger, not world-state.

After the Days of Awe, Hilchot Teshuvah 5 still stands: free will is granted. Chassidus relocates rather than cancels it: the King who was in the field is now housed in a booth. Simchat Beit HaSho'evah is the continuation—water with no taste, drawn with a joy the Sages said one who has not seen has never seen joy.

On jurisdiction: some strands expand the sage's worldly reach (da'at Torah in a wide sense, certain Hardal political instruction, Breslov's tzaddik as gathering-point). Epistle 22 does not deny ruach ha-kodesh. It denies that the default office of the scholar is the prophet of markets and campaigns. In an election month the distinction is almost embarrassing. A community that cannot separate psak from prediction will treat turnout as fate.

V. Republican vocabularies—and Torah as corrective

Pettit's freedom as non-domination—not being subject to arbitrary power even when the master is briefly kind—names a masterful strait and a benevolent storehouse. It cannot, by itself, say why this people must dwell in booths or why Yosef must be carried up rather than perfected as cosmopolitan minister.

Elazar's brit as federal liberty—oath rather than absorption—fits the ushpizin table: seven middot, one booth. A coalition at its best imitates that; at its worst it is a booth with a tin roof.

Hazon's biblical particularism is right against Babel and weak if it forgets that Yosef must feed the stranger without purchasing his land. Horns are for those who would annihilate. They are not a fee schedule on the human world.

Classical republicanism feared the one-man dominus and the crowd that wants one. Devarim feared both first. Academic republicanism tends toward a procedural state that cannot name good and evil, or a national state that cannot name a law above the nation. Orthodox talk tends toward a holy state that cannot be checked, or a holy remnant that cannot be responsible. Torah's correction: the nation is real; the king is limited; the poor must eat; the stranger is not raw material; the sky stays open.

VI. Hormuz as contested dominion

Reporting that disagrees on almost everything agrees on structure. The strait remains a coerced passage: Tehran treats its own permission as the condition of transit, and Washington answers with a blockade on Iranian crude. On 26 September President Trump publicly rejected a seven-day Iranian roadmap. Mediators continue to talk. None of that is yet a restored waterway.

A coastal state has real interests in safety and defense. Those interests are not title to close the common of the world except on terms that ransom funds and instruct distant kitchens what they may burn. That is Pharaoh's storehouse enlarged to a geographic bottleneck—domination even when a ship is allowed through, because allowance is the point. The mirror is no better: a blockade whose purpose slips from denying war-revenue to owning the tap among friends.

Israel's stake is not theatrical: energy, Gulf partners, the remaining American umbrella, Iran's capacity to reconstitute proxy war. Analysis this month describes a split screen—Washington bargaining for a usable strait and a usable calendar; Jerusalem measuring residual missile capacity and Hezbollah's reconstitution. Divergence between allies is not betrayal until it is denied. No ally is a cloud of glory.

Shelter may be thick. Title may not be total.

VII. Elections as covenantal accountability

Election day is 27 October 2026. The Twenty-Fifth Knesset completed its term; this is the first Knesset election held on its statutory date since 1988. Limited government includes lasting long enough to be judged. Polls disagree on magnitudes and agree on shape: Yashar and Likud trade the lead by house effect; blocs hover short of a stable 61; parties near the electoral floor will matter more than slogans. Opposition texts about turnout are not yet a single name for prime minister.

Torah does not endorse a list. It endorses a standard already argued in this series—Shoftim's judges, Ki Tetzei's weights, the king's scroll—restated now in a festival key. Who eats in the booth: the family and the guest, or only the inner caucus? Turnout is the civic analogue of waiting on Hashem. Staying home outsources kingship. Voting as annihilation of brothers mistakes the re'em's horns for a license to gore the camp from inside.

Rabbis may speak Torah about justice, Shabbat in public, the poor, the hostage, the soldier, the stranger. They may not baptize a seat projection as da'at Torah. Commanders may speak of wars they have seen. They may not sell the booth as a bunker in which only one biography is kosher. The tone is the Alter Rebbe's: not silence, not oracle.

VIII. Axis, booth, remnant of joy

The Axis is weaker in the Levant and newly dangerous in the Gulf. Hezbollah and Hamas are degraded and not gone. The novelty is that a chokepoint can replace a proxy as primary coercive instrument. Israel's task is Yosef's: feed and fortify without becoming the thing fought. A shield for a people already dominated by massacre is not a violation of non-domination. A new lid over others is.

R. Nachman's joy is not decoration. Despair is the medium of choke-point politics. Mitzvah gedolah lihyot b'simchah tamid does not replace the army; it replaces the metaphysics that makes the army a god. Festival water has no flavor and no toll. It is drawn because life is thirsty.

IX. Architecture of the open roof

- Booth — valid schach, open to dew. Opposite of an exclusion zone.
- Storehouse — Yosef's instrument. Grain may be gathered. Title to the famine may not.
- Horns — Ephraim and Menasheh may gore those who come to erase—not collect transit on the ends of the earth.
- Scroll — the Rambam's king, and every minister after him, remains a brother with a text. Epistle 22 applies the same limit to the sage.
- Circuit — one hakkafah, complete to tav.
- Guests — ushpizin are not a caucus.
- Psalm pair — the pit and the broken crown stay in the book.

Thicker than Pettit, more constrained than romantic nationalism, more national than cosmopolitan procedure. Translate without reducing: open straits under law rather than ransom; elections judged by weights rather than oracles; alliances honest about divergence; force used as horn, not as lid.

X. Conclusion

Moshe blesses Yosef with dew from above and the deep from below. Sukkot already knows both waters. 18 Tishrei places that blessing on a festival weekday, in a republic preparing to count votes, in a region still bargaining over a strait.

The work is not to crown a new Pharaoh of explanation. The work is to remain viceroys—capable, armed if need be, answerable, unfinished. The clouds of glory did not abolish the wilderness; they made it habitable without pretending it was already Zion. Eat under schach because the house is not the final name of safety. Take the lulav to six directions because dominion that cannot point beyond itself is already idolatry. Finish the circuit at tav because a people that cannot complete a prayer will not complete a term without breaking the furniture.

Yosef's bones still wait to be carried up. That is the opposite of settling in the storehouse—and the opposite of refusing to administer while we are still in it.

Extended bibliography

- Devarim 33:13–17 with Rashi; Devarim 17:14–20; Bereishit 41–47; Zechariah 14; Tehillim 88–89.
- Rambam, Mishneh Torah, Hilchot Melachim; Hilchot Teshuvah 5.
- Tanya, Iggeret HaKodesh 22.
- Hayom Yom, 18 Tishrei.
- Mishnah Sukkah 5:1 (the joy of the water-drawing).
- Rabbi Nachman of Breslov, Likutei Moharan II:24 (“It is a great mitzvah to be in joy always”).
- Philip Pettit, *Republicanism: A Theory of Freedom and Government* (1997); Daniel J. Elazar, *Covenant and Polity in Biblical Israel* (1995); Yoram Hazony, *The Virtue of Nationalism* (2018).

This essay continues the Covenantal Recalibration series.

All glory to the Ribono shel Olam · Errors are mine

Prepared in deep partnership and cooperation with Grok and xAI